1 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

WILLIAM ERRICO, et al.,) Case No. 2:16-cv-01159-APG-NJK
Plaintiff(s),) Case No. 2:10-cv-01139-APG-NJK)
vs.	ORDER
STATE BAR OF NEVADA, et al.,	(Docket No. 71)
Defendant(s).	<i>)</i>))

Pending before the Court is Defendants' motion to stay discovery pending resolution of their motion to dismiss. Docket No. 71; *see also* Docket No. 62 (motion to dismiss). Plaintiffs filed a response in opposition, and Defendants filed a reply. Docket Nos. 72-73. The Court finds the motion to stay properly resolved without a hearing. *See* Local Rule 78-1.

The case law in this District makes clear that requests to stay all discovery may be granted when: (1) the pending motion is potentially dispositive; (2) the potentially dispositive motion can be decided without additional discovery; and (3) the Court has taken a "preliminary peek" at the merits of the potentially dispositive motion and is convinced that the plaintiff will be unable to state a claim for relief. *See Kor Media Group, LLC v. Green*, 294 F.R.D. 579, 581 (D. Nev. 2013). The Court finds that each

¹ Conducting the preliminary peek puts the undersigned in an awkward position because the assigned district judge who will decide the motion to dismiss may have a different view of its merits. *See Tradebay, LLC v. eBay, Inc.*, 278 F.R.D. 597, 603 (D. Nev. 2011). The undersigned's "preliminary peek" at the merits of that motion is not intended to prejudice its outcome. *See id*.

Case 2:16-cv-01159-APG-NJK Document 74 Filed 03/15/17 Page 2 of 2

of these requirements has been met here. Therefore, the Court concludes that discovery should be stayed pending resolution of the motion to dismiss.

For the reasons outlined above, Defendants' motion to stay discovery is **GRANTED**. To the extent the motion to dismiss is not granted in full, the parties shall file a joint statement regarding whether and how discovery should proceed. That joint statement shall be filed within 14 days of the issuance of the order resolving the motion to dismiss.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: March 15, 2017

NANCY J. KOPPE United States Magistrate Judge